

SUMS-OF-SQUARES FORMULAS OVER ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELDS

MELISSA LYNN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider whether existence of a sums-of-squares formula depends on the base field. We reformulate the question of existence as a question in algebraic geometry. We show that if a formula exists in characteristic 0, then a formula exists over all algebraically closed fields, as well as some related results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a field of characteristic not 2. A *sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over F* is an identity of the form

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_r^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_s^2) = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$$

where each z_i is a bilinear expression in the x 's and y 's over F .

The existence of sums-of-squares formulas has been extensively studied since they arose in relation to real normed division algebras, and Hurwitz [2] posed the general question:

- For what r, s, n does a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ exist over a field F ?

Sums-of-squares formulas were used to prove Hurwitz's theorem that the only real normed division algebras are the real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions, and octonions. They continue to be of interest for their relationship to problems in topology and geometry: they provide immersions of projective space into Euclidean space, and they provide Hopf maps. Over arbitrary fields, sums-of-squares formulas provide examples of compositions of quadratic forms.

A detailed treatment of the historical development of the subject and past results can be found in Shapiro's book [1].

Whether existence of a sums-of-squares formula depends on the base field remains an open question. Existence over arbitrary fields is particularly interesting, because formulas over finite fields can be found using computational methods, and these formulas could then yield formulas in the classical characteristic 0 setting.

Most results on the existence of sums-of-squares formulas have been obtained only for fields of characteristic 0. For some very special cases of r, s, n , Adem [3] [4] and Yuzvinsky [5] have settled the question of existence over arbitrary fields. For fixed r and s , topological and geometric considerations have provided lower bounds on the smallest n for which a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ can exist over $\mathbb{R}$. Recently, Dugger and Isaksen [6] [7] [8] show these lower bounds are valid over any field F , improving on the results of Shapiro and Szyjewski [9]. Xie [10] also contributed in this vein.

In this paper, we provide a new formulation of the question of existence sums-of-squares formulas as a question in algebraic geometry, defining the variety of sums-of-squares formulas. We use this reformulation to prove that in the question of existence for any r, s, n , there is some degree of independence from the base field F . We begin by showing:

Theorem 1.1. *If $F \subset K$ are algebraically closed fields, there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over F if and only if there is one over K .*

This theorem means that, in determining existence of formulas over algebraically closed fields, it suffices to consider the fields $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for p prime.

Our main theorem shows that if a sums-of-squares formula exists over any field of characteristic 0, then a sums-of-squares formula exists over every algebraically closed field, regardless of the characteristic:

Theorem 1.2. *If there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over any field of characteristic 0, then there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over every algebraically closed field.*

Thus, for algebraically closed fields, existence in characteristic 0 implies existence in characteristic p .

As a corollary of this theorem, we show that existence of sums-of-squares formulas can always be detected over finite fields:

Corollary 1.3. *If a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ exists over any field F , then a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ exists over some finite field.*

This means that all formulas can potentially be detected using computer searches over finite fields. However, without a bound on the size of the field, the power of this is limited.

2. SUMMARY OF METHODS

We begin by reformulating the question of existence of sums-of-squares formulas as one in algebraic geometry. This is done by observing that a sums-of-squares formula is given by the coefficients of $x_j y_k$ in each z_i , which must satisfy certain polynomial equations. Denote by X_{rsn} the scheme defined by these polynomial equations; we will see that X_{rsn} is defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then giving a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over a ring R is the same as giving an R -point of X_{rsn} .

Denote by A_{rsn}^F the coordinate ring of X_{rsn} over the field F , and let $X_{rsn}^F = \text{Spec } A_{rsn}^F$, which we call the *variety of sums-of-squares formulas of type $[r, s, n]$ over F* .

As a first step towards the main theorem we observe that if $F \subset K$ are algebraically closed fields, there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over F if and only if there is one over K . This is done as a consequence of the Hilbert Nullstellensatz.

Using a reduction argument, we also show that if there is no sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, then there is no sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for all but finitely p . This gives us a partial result in the opposite direction of our main theorem.

To prove our main theorem, we may assume that we have a sums-of-squares formula over $\mathbb{Q}$, so it consists of finitely many coefficients α_{ijk} which are algebraic

numbers. Consider the norms $N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{ijk})$, which may have powers of p in the denominator. We clear these denominators, and then the minimal polynomials of the resulting coefficients have nontrivial images as polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Adjoining roots of these polynomials, we get an element in the projective closure of $X_{rsn}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Since its projective closure is nonempty, this means that $X_{rsn}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is nonempty, and there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

As a corollary to this theorem, we show that if a sums-of-squares formula exists over any field, then a sums-of-squares formula exists over some finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. This follows from the main theorem by noting that a formula consists of only finitely many coefficients.

3. REFORMULATION

We would like to consider the question of when sums-of-squares formulas exist, i.e. when, for a fixed field F with $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$, we have

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_r^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_s^2) = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$$

where the z_i are bilinear expressions in the x 's and y 's with coefficients in F .

We reformulate this question as one about an ideal in a polynomial ring.

We can write

$$z_i = \sum_{j, k} \alpha_{ijk} x_j y_k$$

Then, expanding the left side of the formula, we have:

$$\sum_{j, k} x_j^2 y_k^2$$

Expanding the right side of the formula, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, j, k} (\alpha_{ijk}^2 x_j^2 y_k^2) &+ \sum_{i, j < j', k} (2\alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ij'k} x_j x_{j'} y_k^2) \\ &+ \sum_{i, j, k < k'} (2\alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ijk'} x_j^2 y_k y_{k'}) \\ &+ \sum_{i, j < j', k < k'} (2(\alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ij'k'} + \alpha_{ijk'} \alpha_{ij'k}) x_j x_{j'} y_k y_{k'}) \end{aligned}$$

Grouping terms, we see that the coefficient of $x_j^2 y_k^2$ on the right side is:

$$\sum_i \alpha_{ijk}^2$$

the coefficient of $x_j x_{j'} y_k^2$ where $j < j'$ is:

$$\sum_i 2\alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ij'k}$$

the coefficient of $x_j^2 y_k y_{k'}$ where $k < k'$ is:

$$\sum_i 2\alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ijk'}$$

and the coefficient of $x_j x_{j'} y_k y_{k'}$ where $j < j'$ and $k < k'$ is:

$$\sum_i 2(\alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ij'k'} + \alpha_{ijk'} \alpha_{ij'k})$$

Comparing these expressions with the coefficients on the left side of the formula, we see that existence of a sums-of-squares formula over F is equivalent to the existence of $\alpha_{ijk} \in F$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_i \alpha_{ijk}^2 = 1 & \text{for all } j, k \\ \sum_i \alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ij'k} = 0 & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and all } k \\ \sum_i \alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ijk'} = 0 & \text{for all } j \text{ and } k < k' \\ \sum_i (\alpha_{ijk} \alpha_{ij'k'} + \alpha_{ijk'} \alpha_{ij'k}) = 0 & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and } k < k' \end{array} \right\}$$

Note that we can drop the coefficient 2, since $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$.

Changing notation, we are asking if the set of polynomials

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_i (x_{ijk}^2) - 1 & \text{for all } j, k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ij'k} & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and all } k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ijk'} & \text{for all } j \text{ and } k < k' \\ \sum_i (x_{ijk} x_{ij'k'} + x_{ijk'} x_{ij'k}) & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and } k < k' \end{array} \right\}$$

has a zero.

Let X_{rsn} denote the scheme defined by this set of polynomials, and let I be the ideal generated by this set of polynomials in $F[\{x_{ijk}\}]$.

The set of polynomials has a zero in an algebraic closure of F if and only if I is a proper ideal. Thus, we have the following reformulation for the question of existence of sums-of-squares formulas:

Proposition 3.1. *Let I be the ideal generated by the following set of polynomials in $S = F[\{x_{ijk}\}]$ (where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, $1 \leq k \leq s$):*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_i (x_{ijk}^2) - 1 & \text{for all } j, k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ij'k} & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and all } k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ijk'} & \text{for all } j \text{ and } k < k' \\ \sum_i (x_{ijk} x_{ij'k'} + x_{ijk'} x_{ij'k}) & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and } k < k' \end{array} \right\}$$

A sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ exists over an algebraic closure of F if and only if $I \subset S$ is a proper ideal.

We set the notation $A_{rsn}^F = S/I$, where S and I are as above, and write $X_{rsn}^F = \text{Spec}(A_{rsn}^F)$.

In particular, in the algebraically closed case, this reformulation can be stated as follows:

Proposition 3.2. *Let F be an algebraically closed field. Then a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ exists over F if and only if $A_{rsn}^F \neq 0$.*

By the Hilbert Nullstellensatz, for F an algebraically closed field, this is also equivalent to X_{rsn} being a nonempty scheme, and to $X_{rsn}(F)$ being nonempty.

4. RESULTS

We reduce the question of existence of formulas over algebraic fields, with an immediate consequence of our reformulation and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz:

Theorem 4.1. *If $F \subset K$ are algebraically closed fields, there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over F if and only if there is one over K .*

In particular, in determining existence of formulas over algebraically closed fields, it suffices to consider the fields $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p$ for p prime.

In particular,

Corollary 4.2. *A sums of squares formula exists over $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if it exists over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Before proving our main theorem, we make a reduction argument about what happens if there are no formulas of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ (or, equivalently, any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0):

Proposition 4.3. *If there is no sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, then there is no sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all but finitely many p .*

Proof. Suppose there is no sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

$$A_{rsn}^{\mathbb{Q}} = A_{rsn}^{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = 0$$

so for all $f \in A_{rsn}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we must have $af = 0$ for some $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, there is $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \cdot 1 = 0$. Then $af = 0$ for all f , so we can find one a that works for all f .

Now, let p be a prime not dividing a . Then a is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, so

$$A_{rsn}^{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = 0$$

Thus, for all primes p not dividing a , there is no sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

There are only finitely many primes dividing a , so this completes the proof. $\square$

Now, we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *If there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over any field of characteristic 0, then there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over every algebraically closed field.*

Note that if a sums-of-squares formula exists over a field F of characteristic 0, then that same formula is valid over the algebraic closure $\bar{F}$. Then, by our previous theorem, there is a sums-of-squares formula over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Fix a prime p . Suppose there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ with coefficients α_{ijk} . Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\{\alpha_{ijk}\})$.

Let $\alpha_{i_0 j_0 k_0}$ be the α_{ijk} with the largest power of p in the denominator of its norm. If no $N(\alpha_{ijk})$ has p in the denominator, take $\alpha_{i_0 j_0 k_0}$ to have norm relatively prime to p . Write $N(\alpha_{i_0 j_0 k_0}) = \frac{a}{bp^m}$, where a and b are integers relatively prime to p .

Let d be the degree of the (finite) extension $E/\mathbb{Q}$.

For all i, j, k , let $\beta_{ijk} = p^{m/d} \alpha_{ijk}$. Note that if $N(\alpha_{ijk}) = \frac{c}{dp^l}$ (where $l \leq m$, p and d are relatively prime), then

$$N(\beta_{ijk}) = N(p^{m/d} \alpha_{ijk}) = (p^{m/d})^d N(\alpha_{ijk}) = p^m \frac{c}{dp^l} = p^{m-l} \frac{c}{d}$$

has denominator not divisible by p .

Note that $N(\beta_{i_0 j_0 k_0}) = \frac{a}{b}$, and a and b are relatively prime to p .

Then, since the α_{ijk} provide a zero of the set of polynomials

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_i (x_{ijk}^2) - 1 & \text{for all } j, k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ij'k} & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and all } k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ijk'} & \text{for all } j \text{ and } k < k' \\ \sum_i (x_{ijk} x_{ij'k'} + x_{ijk'} x_{ij'k}) & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and } k < k' \end{array} \right\}$$

we have that the β_{ijk} provide a zero to the set of polynomials

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_i (x_{ijk}^2) - p^{2m/d} & \text{for all } j, k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ij'k} & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and all } k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ijk'} & \text{for all } j \text{ and } k < k' \\ \sum_i (x_{ijk} x_{ij'k'} + x_{ijk'} x_{ij'k}) & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and } k < k' \end{array} \right\}$$

and all the β_{ijk} have norm with denominator not divisible by p . Denote this set of polynomials by $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $g_{ijk}(t_{ijk})$ be the (primitive, not necessarily monic) minimal polynomial of β_{ijk} over the integers. Then there is a zero of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\{t_{ijk}\}]/\langle\{g_{ijk}(t_{ijk})\}\rangle$.

The norms $N(\beta_{ijk})$ all have denominators not divisible by p , so the polynomials g_{ijk} are all nontrivial when reduced modulo p . Thus we have a zero of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\{t_{ijk}\}]/\langle\{g_{ijk}\}\rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \subset \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$, given by $\{\bar{t}_{ijk}\}$.

Thus we also have a zero of the following set of polynomials, which we denote $\mathcal{T}$, in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ by taking $w = p^{m/d}$ reduced modulo p :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_i (x_{ijk}^2) - w^2 & \text{for all } j, k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ij'k} & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and all } k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ijk'} & \text{for all } j \text{ and } k < k' \\ \sum_i (x_{ijk} x_{ij'k'} + x_{ijk'} x_{ij'k}) & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and } k < k' \end{array} \right\}$$

Since $N(\beta_{i_0 j_0 k_0}) = \frac{a}{b}$ with a, b relatively prime to p , this norm is nonzero modulo p , so $g_{i_0 j_0 k_0}$ has nonzero constant term modulo p , and $\bar{t}_{i_0 j_0 k_0}$ is nonzero. Thus we have a zero of $\mathcal{T}$ (which consists of homogeneous polynomials) in projective space over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

The zero set of $\mathcal{T}$ in projective space over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is the projective closure of the zero set of our usual set of polynomials,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_i (x_{ijk}^2) - 1 & \text{for all } j, k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ij'k} & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and all } k \\ \sum_i x_{ijk} x_{ijk'} & \text{for all } j \text{ and } k < k' \\ \sum_i (x_{ijk} x_{ij'k'} + x_{ijk'} x_{ij'k}) & \text{for } j < j' \text{ and } k < k' \end{array} \right\}$$

We have shown that this projective closure is nonempty, hence the original zero set $X_{rsn}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ must be nonempty. Thus there must be a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$, completing the proof. $\square$

We also have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.5. *If a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ exists over a field F , then a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ exists over some finite field.*

Proof. If F has characteristic 0, then there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ for all p .

If F has characteristic q , then there is a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over $\bar{F}$, hence over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$.

In either case, we have a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over some $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. This sums-of-squares formula is given by finitely many coefficients α_{ijk} , so we have a sums-of-squares formula over $\mathbb{F}_q[\{\alpha_{ijk}\}]$. The α_{ijk} are algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_q$, so this is a finite extension of a finite field. Thus we have a sums-of-squares formula of type $[r, s, n]$ over a finite field. $\square$

This corollary means that that sums-of-squares formulas can always be detected by checking over finite fields, and this can be done with a computer search.

REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel B. Shapiro. *Compositions of Quadratic Forms*. Degruyter Expositions in Mathematics. 2000.
- [2] A. Hurwitz. *Über die Komposition der Quadratischen Formen*. Math. Ann., 88 (1923), 1-25
- [3] J. Adem, *On the Hurwitz problem over an arbitrary field I*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2) 25 (1980), no. 1, 29-51
- [4] J. Adem, *On the Hurwitz problem over an arbitrary field II*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2) 26 (1981), no. 1, 29-41.
- [5] S. Yuzvinsky, *Orthogonal pairings of Euclidean spaces*, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), 131-145
- [6] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C. Isaksen. *The Hopf Condition for Bilinear Forms over Arbitrary Fields*. Annals of Mathematics, 165 (2007), 943-964.
- [7] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C. Isaksen. *Algebraic K-Theory and Sums-of-Squares Formulas*. Documenta Mathematica, 10 (2005), 357-366.
- [8] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C. Isaksen. *Etale Homotopy and Sums-of-Squares Formulas*. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 145 (2008), 1-25.
- [9] D. B. Shapiro and M. Szyjewski, *Product formulas for quadratic forms*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 37 (1992), 463-474.
- [10] Heng Xie. *An Application of Hermitian K-Theory: Sums-of-Squares Formulas*. Documenta Mathematica, 19 (2014), 195-208.